1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C23-0316JLR GS HOLISTIC, LLC, 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING THIRD 11 MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION v. OF TIME TO 12 EDMONDS SMOKE SHOP, LLC, et 13 al., 14 Defendants. 15 Before the court is Plaintiff GS Holistic, LLC's ("GS Holistic") third motion for 16 an extension of time to perfect service. (Mot. (Dkt. # 13); see 6/7/23 Order (Dkt. # 7) 17 (finding that GS Holistic had not demonstrated good cause for its failure to serve 18 Defendants before the 90-day deadline set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) 19 but nevertheless granting a 60-day extension of the service deadline); 8/8/23 Order (Dkt. 20 # 12) (denying GS Holistic's second motion for an extension of time for failure to 21 comply with the court's Local Civil Rules).) The court DENIES GS Holistic's motion. 22

1 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4 requires a plaintiff to serve the defendant with a 2 summons and a copy of the complaint and sets forth the specific requirements for doing 3 so. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Rule 4(m), which provides the timeframe in which service must be effectuated, states in relevant part: 4 5 If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss 6 the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period. 7 8 Id. 9 GS Holistic filed this action on March 6, 2023. (Compl. (Dkt. # 1).) As a result, 10 Rule 4(m)'s 90-day deadline for effectuating service of process expired on Monday, June 11 5, 2023. Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). On June 7, 2023, the court granted GS Holistic's first motion for a 60-day extension of the deadline to serve Defendants Edmonds Smoke 12 13 Shop, LLC and Iram Pasha (together, "Defendants"), despite finding that GS Holistic had 14 not demonstrated good cause for its failure to effectuate service before the Rule 4(m) deadline. (6/7/23 Order at 2-3.) The court ordered GS Holistic to file proof of service on 15 16 Defendants by no later than August 4, 2023, and warned GS Holistic that (1) failure to do 17 so could result in dismissal without prejudice of its claims against Defendants and 18 (2) further extensions of the deadline to serve Defendants would not be granted absent 19 exceptional circumstances. (Id. at 3.) GS Holistic filed an affidavit of service on 20 Edmonds Smoke Shop, LLC, on June 19, 2023, and the Clerk entered default as to that 21 Defendant on August 8, 2023. (Aff. (Dkt. # 8); Default (Dkt. # 11).)

22

The court has reviewed the materials that GS Holistic filed in support of its third motion for an extension of time to perfect service and concludes that GS Holistic has not established exceptional circumstances, let alone good cause, that would justify a further extension of time. (*See* 6/7/23 Order); Fed. R. Civ. P 4(m). First, GS Holistic names two different Defendants in its motion: Yasir Shammar and Iram Pasha. (*See* Mot. at 1-2.) Only Mr. Pasha, however, has been named as a Defendant in this action. (*See* Compl.) Second, GS Holistic states that it attached an affidavit of non-service on Mr. Pasha and an email from its process server to its motion. (Mot. at 2.) The attached exhibit, however, includes an email thread that does not mention this case and, in fact, appears to relate to at least one other case. (*See id.*, Ex. A.) The exhibit also does not include an affidavit of non-service. (*Id.*)

The court cannot conclude, based on these submissions, that an extension of the deadline to perfect service is warranted. Accordingly, the court DENIES GS Holistic's third motion for an extension of time (Dkt. # 13) and DISMISSES GS Holistic's claims against Defendant Iram Pasha without prejudice for failure to effect timely service pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m).

Dated this 16th day of August, 2023.

JAMÉS L. ROBART

United States District Judge

m R. Plut